

committee amendments, to this portion of the committee amendments, I should say. Senator Warner, you have the first, Senator. It's on page 757 of the Journal. (Read Warner amendment, AM0426.)

SENATOR WARNER: This is a corrective amendment. There's a word "a" in the sentence, in line 15 that just simply shouldn't be there. And this is the section that refers to the analysis that are made of an application. And the word "cost-benefit"...not the word...well, let me look at the page. The word "incentives" is used in..."other incentives" and it should be "state-financed incentives", so it's clarification. But I'd move the adoption, slightly more than an E & R amendment.

SENATOR CROSBY: Thank you, Senator Warner. Discussion on the Warner amendment to the committee amendments? Senator Warner, your light is next. Senator Beutler, on the Warner amendment to the committee amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Crosby, members of the Legislature, Senator Warner, let me ask a few questions, if I can, and I hope you'll be patient with me, again as this has been hard to digest. First of all...

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Warner.

SENATOR BEUTLER: ...as a matter of a general concept, as I thought we were approaching this entire package of incentives, it seemed to me that 828 and 829 and 830 would operate in tandem, that is the Governor or the board, whoever we decided in the end, would be the deciding, responsible parties. And I think that that board should be filled primarily, if not exclusively, with elected officials, because I think somebody should be responsible for these decisions that can account for them. But I see them as operating together, that is I wouldn't think that you would want to authorize tax increment financing if the jobs quality part were not approved, or vice versa. Or maybe you could comment a little bit just on that general philosophy. Are these bills designed to operate independently, or should we be looking at this to see that there is total coordination as between the three bills and this is a project deal. The Governor, the board approves the project, and if they do, bingo, bingo, 28, 29, 30 go into operation? Or is this designed so that 30 or 29 could be used separately? I think there's...I think that philosophy is important to determine,